Case 2:06-cr-00026-RSL Document 451 Filed 03/17/11

Page 1 of 3

to financial information upon request, and be prohibited from association with any known gang members. The sentence was amended on April 21, 2008 to provide for imprisonment of 29 months, pursuant to a change in the guidelines sentence range for crack cocaine, 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2), 28 U.S.C. § 994(u). (Dkt. 365.) Supervision commenced on April 28, 2008. On August 25, 2008, defendant's probation officer reported that defendant had violated conditions of supervision by associating with a known felon. The alleged violation was addressed in Moral Reconation Therapy and the Court approved the recommendation of no other action at that time. (Dkt. 392.)

On September 11, 2008, defendant's conditions of supervised release were modified to require participation in home confinement with electronic monitoring for up to 90 days. (Dkt. 396.) On January 22, 2010, defendant admitted violating the conditions of supervised release by failing to participate in Moral Reconation Therapy, failing to report to the probation officer as directed, committing the crime of Driving with a Suspended License, associating with a known felon, and associating with persons engaged in criminal activity. (Dkt. 434.) Defendant was sentenced to 30 days and 59 months supervised release. He was further required to not obtain any forms of identification in any but his true identity. (Dkt. 439.)

In an application dated August 3, 2010 (Dkt. 443, 444), U.S. Probation Officer Thomas J. Fitzgerald alleged the following violations of the conditions of supervised release:

- 1. Failing to comply with the Location Monitoring Program since March 20, 2010, in violation of the special condition requiring he participate in the Location Monitoring Program with electronic monitoring for a period of 120 days, as directed by the probation officer.
  - 2. Failing to participate in Moral Reconation Therapy (MRT) as directed since May

SUMMARY REPORT OF U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE AS TO ALLEGED VIOLATIONS OF SUPERVISED RELEASE PAGE -2

01 5, 2010, in violation of his special condition of supervised release. 02 3. Consuming marijuana on or before July 7, 2010, in violation of standard condition 03 number seven of his supervised release. 04 4. Failing to report for urinalysis testing as directed on July 14, 2010, in violation 05 of his special condition of supervised release. 06 5. Failing to report to the probation officer as directed on July 16, July 20, and July 23, 2010, in violation of standard condition number two of his supervised release. 07 08 Defendant was advised in full as to those charges and as to his constitutional rights. 09 Defendant admitted the alleged violations and waived any evidentiary hearing as to whether they occurred. 11 I therefore recommend the Court find defendant violated his supervised release as alleged, and that the Court conduct a hearing limited to the issue of disposition. The next 12 hearing will be set before Judge Lasnik. 14 Pending a final determination by the Court, defendant has been detained. 15 DATED this 17th day of March, 2011. 16 17 United States Magistrate Judge 18 19 Honorable Robert S. Lasnik District Judge: cc: 20 AUSA: Todd Greenberg Bruce D. Erickson Defendant's attorney: 21 Probation officer: Thomas J. Fitzgerald

SUMMARY REPORT OF U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE AS TO ALLEGED VIOLATIONS OF SUPERVISED RELEASE PAGE -3

22